

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,561	10/28/2003	Michael J. Picciallo	P22,425-G USA 9169	
7	7590 11/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower			SHAH, AMEE A	
1101 Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			3625	.,

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/695,561	PICCIALLO, MICHAEL J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amee A. Shah	3625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1	correspondence address			
	VIC CET TO EVENE AMONTH	(O) OD THIDTY (OO) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON8	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	August 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,10-14 and 18</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,10-14 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
: 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	u)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	∍d.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/10/06 & 10/4/06</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4, 7, 10-14 and 18 are pending in this action.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

Applicant's amendment, filed August 10, 2006, has been entered. Claims 1-4, 7 and 10 have been amended, claims 5, 6, 8, 9 and 15-17 have been cancelled, and claim 18 is newly added.

In response to the amended claims and applicant's arguments, claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 13 and 14 are supported by applicant's 09/585,173 application (the "'173 application"), but claims 3, 10-12 and 18 are not. While the '173 application does disclose that the card "documents the day, place, amount and items that were purchases by the user," it does not disclose storing information on fund transfers and corresponding payment amounts nor supplying that information, periodically or automatically, to the fund depositor through an output device, such as e-mail, as recited in claims 3 and 10-12. Furthermore, the '173 application specifically discloses that the magnetic card is a credit card, and does not disclose that it could be a debit card, as recited by claim 18. Therefore, claims 3, 10-12 and 18 will not be given the priority benefit of the '173 application, but claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 13 will be given that priority date.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7, 10-14 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendments.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed October 4, 2006, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(b)(4) which requires each foreign patent or published foreign patent application listed in an information disclosure statement to be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein relating to the foreign patent application has not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Examiner Note

Examiner cites particular pages, columns, paragraphs and/or line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oncken et al., US 4,725,719 (hereafter referred to as "Oncken") in view of Nakano et al., US 5,845,260, cited by Applicant (hereafter referred to as "Nakano").

Referring to claim 1. Oncken discloses a method for transferring funds to third party dependents (see, e.g., Abstract), comprising creating an account for magnetic card access by a third party financially dependent upon a fund depositor, from which funds may be transferred at the request of said third party as a cash withdrawal or payment for goods or services purchased by said third party and transferring funds to said account and issuing a magnetic card to said third party for access to said funds (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 11-27, col. 6, lines 53-61, and col. 8, lines 3-7 – note that the fund depositor, i.e. a user such as an employer, can transfer funds to the third party, i.e. an acceptor such as an employee who is financially dependent on the employer, through a magnetic card which can be used similar to a credit card to make purchases).

Oncken discloses restricting the third party as to which services and/or products the third party may purchase with the card, (col. 4, lines 19-27), but does not explicitly disclose

Art Unit: 3625

prohibiting the spending of any amount of funds using the card on any one product, such as alcohol or tobacco.

Nakano discloses a method for using an interactive on-line service whereby a parent creates an account for his child with a limited maximum amount for services and the parent can restrict and prohibit certain services from being ordered by the child (e.g., col. 6, lines 47-57, col. 7, lines 16-18 and 42-51, and col. 8, lines 11-16). Nakano is concerned with the similar issue as Applicant in allowing a third-party, such as a child, unrestricted access to services the parent considers undesirable for the child, such as adult content movies (col. 7, lines 43-48). Nakano's solution for this issue is to prohibit any amount of funds using the account on such services (col. 7, lines 43-51 and col. 8, lines 11-16).

Oncken discloses restricting the use of the card to certain products, and Nakano discloses prohibiting use of the account for certain services/products. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Oncken to include the teachings of Nakano to allow for the spending of any amount of funds using the card on alcohol or tobacco to be prohibited. Doing so would allow the parent to restrict and/or prohibit their money from being used on items, such as adult content movies, alcohol or tobacco, which the parent believes are unsuitable for the child, as suggested by Oncken (col. 3-12) and Nakano (col. 7, lines 48-51 and col. 8, lines 12-16).

Referring to claim 2. Oncken in view of Nakano further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of periodically and automatically transferring funds into said account in response to command instructions from said fund depositor (Oncken, col. 6, line 62 through

Art Unit: 3625

col. 7, line 9, and col. 7, lines 65-68 – note that the account it automatically replenished when it reaches a certain value as commanded/agreed to by the fund depositor).

Referring to claim 3. Oncken in view of Nakano discloses the method of claim 1 comprising the further step of storing information on fund transferees and corresponding payment amounts for said account (Oncken, col. 7, lines 45-54); and supplying to said fund depositor through an output device said information on fund transferees and corresponding payment amounts for said account (Oncken, col. 7, lines 55-65).

Referring to claim 4. Oncken in view of Nakano further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said funds are supplied to said account through a credit card network (Oncken, Fig. 4 and col. 6, line 63 through col. 7, line 15 and Nakano, Fig. 1, col. 3, line 51 through col. 4, line 7 and col. 8, lines 1-6).

Referring to claim 7. Oncken in view of Nakano discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said goods or services comprise books, computer software, food, lodging or entertainment (Oncken, col. 2, lines 32-50 and col. 7, lines 21-23 – note that goods or services purchased are inherently capable of being comprised of books, computer software, food, lodging or entertainment).

Referring to claims 10-11. Oncken in view of Nakano discloses the method of claim 3 wherein said information on fund transferees and corresponding payment amounts for said third

Art Unit: 3625

party account is automatically supplied to said fund depositor (claim 10), and wherein said supplying step is performed periodically (claim 11) (Oncken, col. 7, lines 59-65).

Referring to claim 13. Oncken in view of Nakano further discloses the method of claim 2 wherein said funds are transferred weekly or monthly (Oncken, col. 7, lines 65-68 and col. 8, lines 3-7 and Nakano, col. 6, lines 47-51 – note that the fund depositor can periodically transfer money and set a monthly cash allowance).

Referring to claim 14. Oncken in view of Nakano further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said financially dependent third party is a son or daughter of said fund depositor (Nakano, e.g. Abstract – note also that the method would be performed in the same fashion regardless of whether the third party is an employee or son or daughter of the fund depositor).

Referring to claim 18. Oncken in view of Nakano further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said magnetic card is a debit card (Oncken, col. 2, lines 39-50 and col. 3, lines 18-29 — note that the invented method in Oncken discloses an improved method of which a debit card is prior art and one of the cards upon which the invention is an improvement).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oncken in view of Nakano and further in view of Hilt et al., US 5,465,206 (hereafter referred to as "Hilt").

Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 12. Oncken in view of Nakano discloses the method of claim 3, as discussed supra, but does not explicitly disclose wherein said information is supplied via email.

Hilt discloses an electronic bill pay system wherein information is supplied via e-mail (col. 22, lines 41-45, "a message could be interchangeably embodied in a postal mail paper form, an e-mail message, a telephone voice response session, etc." – note that a message includes information regarding transactions).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Oncken in view of Nakano to further include the teachings of Hilt to allow for the information to be supplied via email. Doing so would result in a reduction of paper and increase in efficiency thereby resulting in increase in profits, as suggested by Hilt (col. 6, lines 19-21 and col. 20, lines 22-25).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3625

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 9

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amee A. Shah whose telephone number is 571-272-8116. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AAS

October 27, 2006

JEFFREY A. SMITH

UPPRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TĚCHNOLOGY CENTER 3600